IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

IN RE: HAIR RELAXER MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION	MDL No. 3060 Master Docket Case No. 1:23-cv-00818 Honorable Mary M. Rowland
Plaintiff(s),	MASTER SHORT-FORM COMPLAINT
v.	AND JURY DEMAND
Defendant(s).	Civil Action No.

1. Plaintiff(s)/Injured Party/Decedent (hereinafter, "Plaintiff(s)") incorporate by reference Plaintiffs' Master Long Form Complaint in *In Re: Hair Relaxer Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation*, MDL 3060, filed as of May 15, 2023, as Document

Number [____].

2. Plaintiff(s),______, file(s) this Complaint pursuant to CMO No. 2 and is to be bound by the rights, protections and privileges, and obligations of that CMO and other Orders of the Court. Further, in accordance with CMO No. 2, Plaintiff(s) hereby designate(s) the United States District Court for the ______ as Plaintiff's designated venue ("Original Venue"). Plaintiff makes this selection based upon one (or more) of the following factors (please check the appropriate box(es)):

 Plaintiff currently resides in	(City/State);
 Plaintiff purchased and used Defendant(s)' products in (City/State);	

- ____ The Original Venue is a judicial district in which Defendant _____ resides, and all defendants are residents of the State in which the district is located (28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1)).
- ____ The Original Venue is a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, specifically (28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2)):_____
- There is no district in which an action may otherwise be brought under 28 U.S.C. § 1391, and the Original Venue is a judicial district in which Defendant is subject to the Court's personal jurisdiction with respect to this action (28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(3)).
- ____ Other reason (please explain): _____
- 3. For purposes of diversity jurisdiction, Plaintiff is a citizen of (State/Territory).
- 4. Plaintiff(s) state(s) and incorporate(s) by reference as if set forth fully herein all

common allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 114 of the Master Long Form Complaint.

CASE SPECIFIC FACTS REGARDING HAIR RELAXER PRODUCT USE AND INJURIES

5. Plaintiff began using hair relaxer product(s) on or about the following date:

6. Plaintiff used the following hair relaxer product(s), which Plaintiff contends caused and/or contributed to their injury(ies) and brings claims against the following Defendants:

 □ L'Oréal USA, Inc./L'Oréal USA Products, Inc./SoftSheen-Carson LLC □ Dark & Lovely □ Optimum □ Mizani 	□ Revlon, Inc./Revlon Consumer Products Corporation / Revlon Group Holdings LLC / Revlon □ Crème of Nature □ Revlon Realistic
□ Strength of Nature, LLC/Godrej SON Holdings □ Motions □ Just for Me □ Soft & Beautiful □ TCB □ TCB Naturals □ Profectiv Mega Growth □ African Pride Dream Kids □ Dr. Miracle's	 <u>Dabur International Ltd./Dabur</u> <u>International USA Ltd./Namaste</u> <u>Laboratories, LLC/Dermoviva Skin</u> <u>Essentials, Inc.</u> ORS Olive Oil
□ AFAM Concept, Inc, d/b/a JF Labs □ Hawaiian Silky	 Parfums de Coeur, Ltd. d/b/a PDC Brands Cantu
 McBride Research Laboratories, Inc. Design Essentials 	□ <u>Avlon Industries</u> □ Affirm
 □ Beauty Bell Enterprises, LLC d/b/a House of Cheatham / House of Cheatham, LLC □ Africa's Best 	 Luster Products, Inc. Pink Smooth Touch
 Sally Beauty Holdings, Inc. Silk Elements 	

7.	Other	manufacturer(s)/product(s)	used	by	Plaintiff	not	identified
above:							

8. Plaintiff's use of Defendant(s) hair relaxer product(s) caused serious injuries and

damages including but not limited to the following:

9. Approximate date(s) of diagnosis (injury(ies)), if applicable at this time, that form(s) the basis of Plaintiff's claim(s): _____

CAUSES OF ACTION AND THEORIES OF RECOVERY ADOPTED AND INCORPORATED IN THIS LAWSUIT

10. Plaintiff(s) hereby adopt(s) and incorporate(s) by reference as if set forth fully herein, the following Causes of Action and the Prayer for Relief within the Master Long Form Complaint on file with the Clerk of the Court for the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois in the matter entitled *In Re: Hair Relaxer Marketing, Sales Practices, and Products Liability Litigation*, MDL No. 3060:

- Count I Negligence and/or Gross Negligence
- Count II Negligent Misrepresentation
- Count III Negligence *Per Se*
- Count IV Strict Liability: Design Defect
- Count V Strict Liability: Failure to Warn
- Count VI Breach of Implied Warranty of Merchantability/Fitness for Particular Use

- □ Count VII Breach of Express Warranty under state law and the Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act, 15 U.S.C. § 2301 et. seq.
- Count VIII Fraud/Fraudulent Misrepresentation
- Count IX Fraudulent Concealment
- □ Count X U.S. State and Territory Statutory Consumer Protection and Unfair or Deceptive Trade Practices Claims
- Count XI Unjust Enrichment
- Count XII Wrongful Death
- Count XIII Survival Action
- □ Count XIV Loss of Consortium
- Count XV Punitive Damages
- □ Other Causes of Action:

11. <u>Consortium Claim(s) (if applicable)</u>: The following individual(s) allege(s) damages for loss of consortium:

12. <u>Survival and/or Wrongful Death Claim(s) (if applicable)</u>: The following individual(s) allege(s) damages for survival and/or wrongful death:

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff(s) demand(s) a trial by jury as to all claims in this action.

Case: 1:23-cv-00818 Document #: 106-1 Filed: 05/15/23 Page 6 of 6 PageID #:1117

Dated this the ____ day of _____, 20___.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF(S),

Signature

OF COUNSEL: (name) (firm) (address) (phone) (email)